Aspiration Pneumonia How can we help?

Improve outcomes for tough clinical decisions.

George Barnes MS, CCC-SLP, BCS-S





Agenda

- The Problem
 - Why are we so bad at making decisions?
 - Biases and heuristics
 - Pneumonia vs aspiration pneumonia
- The Solution
 - Make better decisions
 - Assessment
 - Treatment
- The Practical
 - Case study
 - **Q&A**

Today's Discussion



WHAT I DO

CIRH and Acute care Mobile FEES FEESibleSwallowSolutions.com

WHY I DO IT

With a passion for cooking (and eating) I gravitated towards a career dedicated to helping others enjoy the same pleasures

WHEN I'M NOT DOING IT

I'm spending time with my family, cooking, or reading





WHY ARE YOU LISTENING TO ME?

- Years of:
 - Making decisions
 - Studying decisions
 - Improving decisions





After watching this presentation, the participant will be able to...

- List the different types of pneumonia and explain its pathophysiology.
 - Identify the salient features of aspiration pneumonia.
- Summarize how the lungs protect themselves against aspiration and infection

- Summarize how our interventions may (or may not) reduce risk.
- Choose the interventions that have the biggest bang for your buck.
- Identify the most important risk factors and describe how to manage them.

Describe how we can best assess aspiration pneumonia risk.





Financial — Receiving an honorarium for this presentation Non-financial — Volunteer for a research project aimed to objectively calculate aspiration pneumonia risk



Disclosures

All images contained in this presentation have been provided with a paid subscription to Canva



The Problem



Why are we so bad at making decisions?

Nobody is immune...





They may cause healthcare professionals to make errors up to 77% of the time (Saposnik et al., 2016)

> Medical errors account for close to 100,000 deaths each year (Kohn et al., 2009).



The Stakes are High

Cognitive biases exist in healthcare (Featherston et al., 2020)







How Effective Are We?

Expert predictions are no better than a dartthrowing monkey (Tetlock & Gardner, 2016).

When we are 90% sure we are right, we are wrong 50% of the time (Sibony, 2020).





Shit Happens



BUT WHEN?

During unfamiliar circumstances or when short on time and feeling rushed.

(Groopman,, 2008)

Biases and Heuristics

You can't correct a mistake you don't know you make

You don't know what you don't know

We are even biased about our own biases (Pinker, 2021)



AVAILABILITY HEURISTIC

Speaking of dysphagia...

ANCHORING

Dysphagia must be the cause of everything

CONFIRMATION BIAS

I won't stop looking for dysphagia until I find it.

BASE RATE NEGLECT

lsn't every pneumonia aspiration-related?

(Saposnik et al., 2016)





Availability Heuristic

Memorable events have a greater impact on our decisions than unmemorable events.

Example: How important is an aspiration event?



Anchoring

Hanging onto the first piece of information we get.

Example: Coughing on first trial vs last trial.







Confirmation bias

Only pay attention to the results that support our theories.

Example: Right lower lobe pneumonia.



Base-Rate Neglect

We over-emphasize individual characteristics and under-emphasize statistical base rates.

Example: Is this really aspiration pneumonia?



Quick Recap

Nobody's perfect. Including you.

- The human body is complex. Errors are bound to happen.
- Medical errors have a huge impact on the outcomes and health of our patients.
- Recognizing the frequency and significance of our mistakes will help us develop a system that minimizes those errors.





Pneumonia vs Aspiration Pneumonia

First step to improving our decisions



©George Barnes/NSS

Pneumonia stats

Number one cause of infection in hospital admissions

Over 10 million people diagnosed per year

\$10 billion in healthcare costs per year



(Lindenauer et al., 2018)



Pathogenesis of Pneumonia

Infectious material enters the lungs



Unable to clear or fight infection

PNEUMONIA





Are Lungs Sterile?

CILIA

Mucociliary escalator

MACROPHAGES

Seek and destroy

(Matthay et al., 2019, West, 2015)



MUCUS

Catch and protect

COUGH

To remove

CILIA

Most of our respiratory system is lined with cilia (other than the alveoli). These are tiny hairs that mobilize foreign materials up and out (i.e., secretions, food, liquid, bacteria, dust, etc.)

MUCUS

Mucus covers the cilia to catch those materials and to improve the mobility of the cilia so it can push the materials up to the throat

THE ESCALATOR

Once the foreign contents makes its way up the escalator and near or in the upper airway where they are either coughed out or swallowed

AQUAPORINS

The lungs can absorb particles and bring them into the bloodstream to be safely broken down by the body.



WHAT IS BEING ASPIRATED

We have to know more than simply *if* the patient is aspirating. We also have to know *what* they are aspirating.

DESCRIBE IT

Phase? Acidity? Volume?

(West, 201<u>5)</u>



Macrophages

FOR THOSE MATERIALS THAT ARE TOO LARGE OR TOO VISCOUS TO BE ABSORBED.



(West, 2015)

(Laursen et al., 2013; U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2022)

RESPIRATORY CHANGES

Desaturation Dyspnea and increased work of breathing Supplemental oxygen needs

ABNORMALIMAGING

Chest X-ray (CXR) (69% accurate) CT scan of the chest

SIGNS OF INFECTION

Fever Leukocytosis Sputum culture Bronchoscopy Thoracentesis

INFECTION

Not all microbes are created equal

WHAT IS IT AND WHERE DID IT COME FROM?

- Breathed in or aspirated
 - Virus
 - Bacteria
 - Fungi

REPLICATION

Microbes love a party

Microbes



What happens to the lungs?

- Microbes replicating in the airways and spread to tissue
- Symptoms are not due solely to the microbe. It's also due to how the body responds to that microbe.
- Inflammatory immune response
 - White blood cells
 - Proteins
 - Fluid
 - Red blood cells (if a capillary is damaged)
- Underlining respiratory disease alters the ability to fight off and clear out potentially harmful pathogens





Respiratory disease and AP



Increased risk for aspiration

Poor clearance

(Matthay et al., 2019)
Community

ACQUIRED

- The person acquired the infection in the community
- Most common
- Not as deadly
- Twice as likely to be viral vs bacterial

(Chalmers et al., 2011; Kalil et al., 2016; Ong, 2020; Sethi, 2022; Taylor, 2013)

- Higher medical complexity with impaired pulmonary and immune system health
- More likely to be bacterial and aspiration-related

Hospital

ACQUIRED

- The person acquired the infection in the hospital • Microbes may be resistant to common antibiotics
- Higher risk for aspiration



- The person acquired the infection in the community
- Most common
- Not as deadly
- Twice as likely to be viral vs bacterial

(Chalmers et al., 2011; Kalil et al., 2016; Ong, 2020; Sethi, 2022; Taylor, 2013)



• The person acquired the infection in the hospital • Microbes may be resistant to common antibiotics • Higher risk for aspiration

• Higher medical complexity with impaired pulmonary and immune system health

• More likely to be bacterial and aspiration-related

Hospital bugs



- Strong offense (virulent) and a strong defense (drug resistant)
- Swap genes to improve resistance and evolve quickly
- e.g., Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA)

Ventilator-Associated Pneumonia (VAP)

PNEUMONIA ON THE VENT

- 48h after vent placement
- Bio-film may form on endotracheal tube
- Impaired cough (similar bacteria as found in the mouth)
- People on ventilators have many risk factors



(Chi et al., 2012; Koenig & Truwit, 2006; Mandell, 2019)





(Cho et al., 2015; Mandell, 2019; Sethi, 2022)

Aspiration Pneumonitis

Inflammation (typically without infection) resulting from the aspiration of acidic, sterile, contents (i.e. gastric contents, chemicals, medicine, etc.).

Restriction of the bronchioles, atelectasis, and edema. Indistinguishable from AP by imaging.

Be mindful of GI conditions that may increase the risk of reflux and/or vomiting.

May turn into pneumonia if antacids are used or if dysphagia is also present (Dysphagia and GERD commonly co-occur). *Bacterial pneumonia may occur in 25% of cases.



Jesus 47 YOM

Pt wakes up in the middle of the night gasping for air and two days later has dyspnea and cough. There are concerns of aspiration pneumonia given the chest x-ray and symptoms. You find out that there is a history of an esophageal stricture with worsening globus sensation upon your evaluation.



SPECIFIC LOBE

e.g. Right lower lobe pneumonia

BRONCHOPNEUMONIA

Throughout the lungs (bronchioles and alveoli)



WHICH SIDE?

Right or left?

INTERSTITIAL PNEUMONIA

Just outside the alveoli

Stages of Pneumonia

1. CONGESTION

- Days 1-2
- Alveoli and blood vessels fill up with fluid

2. RED HEPATIZATION

- Days 3-4
- Exudate fill the air space
- RBC, WBC, Proteins

3. GRAY HEPATIZATION

- Days 5-7
- RBC break down

RESOLUTION

- Day 8 3 weeks
- Exudate is processed by enzymes, macrophages, or coughed out





Symptoms

CHEST PAIN

Due to the inflammation

ABNORMAL CXR

Or breath sounds



Dyspnea, increased work of breathing (WOB), tachypnea

PRODUCTIVE COUGH

Sputum or blood

Treatment

ANTIBIOTICS

Depending on the type

COUGH SUPRESSANTS

Only enough to decrease the cough

PAIN MEDICATION

For comfort and sleep

RESPIRATORY

Manage the respiratory condition







(Mandell, 2019; Marik, 2001; Sanivarapu & Gibson, 2022)

Aspiration pneumonia

Up to 70% mortality and 2.3-3x more deadly than other types of pneumonia

Carrying in Microbes with saliva, food, liquid, or vomit

Healthy people aspirate, but rarely get pneumonia





Pneumonia







Does aspiration cause aspiration pneumonia?

Confirmed aspiration on an instrumental study is not a significant risk factor for aspiration pneumonia by itself.

(Langmore, 1998)







Everyone Aspirates

Micro aspiration may occasionally occur in healthy individuals (even silently) and is common during sleep. What's more important is:

- How much is being aspirated?
- What is being aspirated?
- Who is doing the aspirating?

(Butler et al., 2017; Coyle & Matthew, 2010; Mandell, 2019)



Diagnosing AP

As an SLP, you are charged with helping differentiate a diagnosis of pneumonia by confirming or ruling out certain factors (i.e. dysphagia severity and type).

If a diagnosis is incorrect, we are missing the cause of the condition. If we miss the cause, we miss an opportunity to protect the patient from acquiring the condition again.





PNEUMONIA

All the same criteria mentioned for a general pneumonia diagnosis will apply.

Sputum sample via bronchoscopy may show bacteria originated from the oral cavity: pneumococcus, Haemophilus influenzae, staphylococcus aureus, and anaerobes.

ABNORMAL IMAGING

Generally in the gravity dependent areas of the lung (Think about positioning).

Typically not symmetrical bilaterally.

(Mandell, 2019; Sethi, 2022; The Japanese Respiratory Society, 2009)

PRESENCE OF DYSPHAGIA

This seems obvious, but may not always be the case in, say, non-dysphaigarelated aspiration pneumonia. (Laursen et al., 2013; U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2022)

RESPIRATORY CHANGES

Desaturation Dyspnea and increased work of breathing Supplemental oxygen needs

ABNORMAL IMAGING

Chest X-ray (CXR) (69% accurate) CT scan of the chest

SIGNS OF INFECTION

Fever Leukocytosis Sputum culture Bronchoscopy Thoracentesis



The patient aspirates and pneumonia occurs from an acute, subacute, or chronic dysphagia.

(Coyle & Matthews, 2010) A Dilemma in Dysphagia Management: Is Aspiration Pneumonia the Chicken or the Egg?



The patient gets pneumonia and aspiration occurs from deconditioning and reduced functional reserve. This may be from an acute, **reversible** condition after weakness, lethargy, delirium, and bed-bound status.



Dysphagia-related AP

Dysphagia Infection Oral bacteria

Non-dysphagia-related AP

Aspiration pneumonitis vs AP VAP vs AP Temporarily altered mentation



AMANI 34 YOF S/P ETOH WITHDRAWAL

Temporary nausea/vomiting and altered mentation with bacteremia/sepsis and seizures.

Right, lower lobe opacity on CXR.

Dysphagia-related aspiration pneumonia?

(Mandell, 2019)







FRANCISCO 79 YOM ON VENT

History of "aspiration pneumonia"

You're concerned about beginning PO trials after pt is successfully extubated. What's the risk?





Gertrude 82 YOF admitted with pneumonia

Pt remains confused and variably responsive and begins to cough on all PO trials.







Who gets aspiration pna?

LIKELINESS INCREASES WITH GROSS **ASPIRATION (OFTEN SILENT)**

Drug overdose, impaired consciousness, neurological disease, head and neck CA, GI abnormalities, and respiratory compromise.

Patients with advanced age are at a particularly high risk given a 10-33% incidence of dysphagia at baseline and higher susceptibility to medical complexity, severe illness, and infection.

(Kollmeier & Keenaghan, 2022; Mandell, 2019; Thiyagalingam, 2021)



AP is a continuum

AP OVERLAPS WITH OTHER PNAS

In other types of pneumonia, aspiration may play a part or be the sole cause of the infection.

Oropharyngeal dysphagia increases odds of pneumonia by 11.9x in the elderly and found in 70% of elderly patients with pneumonia.



(Mandell, 2019)



Where can you find AP?

HOSPITAL-ACQUIRED

1.5-50.4%

(Komiya et al., 2016)

VENTILATOR-ASSOCIATED

Over 90%

(Akbiyik et al., 2021)

COMMUNITY-ACQUIRED

5-15% (Mandell, 2019)

NURSING HOME-ACQUIRED

18%

(Sanivarapu & Gibson, 2022)

(Langmore, 1998)

NURSING HOME

44%

INPATIENT

19%

OUTPATIENT

9%



There is a high incidence of silent aspiration in patients with community-acquired pneumonia.

Patients who are older and/or deconditioned are more likely to aspirate at night and more likely to have unwitnessed and/or silent aspiration.

(Sanivarapu & Gibson, 2022; Teramoto et al., 2008)

Silent aspiration

Most episodes of AP are silent or unwitnessed.

Right lower lobe

IS IT SIGNIFICANT?

Yes and no. The right mainstem bronchus is more vertically angled making it more likely that an aspiration event will slide down to the right side. "However, based on the patient's position at the time of aspiration, any lobe may be affected, or all of them given a sufficiently large volume"

Large volume? 1 oz or more. Chronic, trace, aspiration should also be a concern.

Be mindful of your patient's positioning.



HECTOR 76 YOM: RIGHT CVA

Patient appears to be coughing at bedside with PO intake, but the CXR reads left, lower lobe infiltrate. Medical team was expecting right sided involvement, but YOU know that the patient had a right hemisphere CVA and tends to be left leaning.





NPO Status

IS IT HELPFUL?

We certainly don't want our patients aspirating gross amounts when their condition is acute and temporary. In these cases, NPO may be warranted. However...

- NPO will NOT prevent aspiration
- Tube feeding will NOT prevent aspiration
- Life saving medications may be needed

(Coyle & Matthew, 2010; Finucane & Bynum, 1996; Mandell, 2019; Sampson, 2009)



DEREK 89 YOM WITH DEMENTIA

Complex medical history including late stage dementia. Bed-bound and very poor oral intake. Enjoys ice cream and pudding, but confirmed aspiration on all consistencies.

In patients with advanced dementia, feeding tubes DO NOT extend life, prevent aspiration pneumonia, prevent malnutrition, improve pressure injuries, provide comfort, or improve overall function.

Instead they may INCREASE issues with tube complications, discomfort, and restraints.

(Sampson et al., 2009)



When do symptoms occur?

Patients typically show clinical features within a few hours or a few days after the aspiration event.

Often times there is no aspiration event in the case of silent aspiration or unwitnessed aspiration and further investigation is warranted.

(Mandell, 2019)



Questions to Ask

- What is the patient's baseline?
- What was the initial pneumonia diagnosis?
- Are all criteria for a pneumonia diagnosis met?
- What risk factors for AP are present?
- Is there dysphagia? What's the cause?
- What are the signs/symptoms?
- How long have the symptoms been occurring?
- Is this from an acute, subacute or long term illness?
- Was the patient recently on a vent?
- Is this a recurring diagnosis of pneumonia?
- Was there a reflux/vomiting episode?
- Do we have access to an instrumental study?

- agnosis? gnosis met? nt? Ise?
- occurring?
- ? umonia? de? ital study?



Risk Factors

Pulmonary clearance/ Immune response

Volume & frequency of aspiration

Increased likelihood of pneumonia

(De jager, 2012; Langmore, 1998; Lo, 2019; Manabe, 2015; Terpenning, 2001)



Micro-biologic contents of aspirate

Volume & Frequency

Anterograde

- Aspiration of secretions
- Aspiration or pharyngeal residue on **VFSS**
- Need for suction
- Reduced laryngeal sensation
- Hx CVA
- Lethargic
- Tube feeding
- Dependent for feeding






Bacteriologic Contents

Anterograde

- Oral hygiene (including dry mouth)
- Dependent for oral care
- Regular professional dental care
- Status of natural dentition

(Langmore, 1998; Terpenning, 2001; Terpenning, 2005)

Retrograde

Acid Suppression Therapy PPI H2 Blockers

(De Jager, 2010; Laheji, 2004)

(De Jager, 2012; Langmore, 1998; Lo, 2019; Manabe, 2015; Terpenning, 2001)

MEDICAL CONDITIONS

- COPD
- CHF
- Asthma

REDUCED FUNCTION

A reduction in functional mobility as well as an increased dependence on activities of daily living

PULMONARY HEALTH

- History of smoking
- Need for supplemental O2
- Need for inhaled medications

Immune Response

CAN THE BODY FIGHT OFF **AN IMPENDING INFECTION?**

Nutritional **Status**

(Langmore, 1998; Lo, 2019; Manabe, 2015; Miyata, 2017; Terpenning, 2001)

Presence of other infections

Comorbidities



What's the Risk?

Looking at one risk factor will not tell you the overall risk.

We need a comprehensive approach examining and managing multiple risk factors together.

(Santos et al., 2021; Steele et al., 2011)



ASPIRATION

- Cognitive deficits
- Dysphagia
- GI complications (PPI)
- Will require feeder
- Tube feeding
- Poor oral health/Xerostomia
- Requires suctioning
- Poor positioning
- Meds impacting alertness

CLEARANCE

- Weak cough
- Supplemental O2
- Mechanical ventilation
- Pulmonary disease

(Feinberg et al., 1996; Fukuba et al., 2020; Herzig et al., 2009; Kaneoka et al., 2017; Kollmeier & Keenaghan, 2022; Laheij, 2004; Langmore, et al., 1998; Langmore et al., 2002; Leder et al., 2013; Manabe et al., 2015; Marik, 2001; Nativ-Zeltzer et al., 2021; Palmer & Padilla, 2021; Taylor et al., 2013)



IMMUNE RESPONSE

- Medically compromised
- Weakness/decreased mobility/dependence
- Age
- Polypharmacy (5 or more)
- Nutrition risk
- Current infection

ASPIRATION

- Cognitive deficits
- Dysphagia
- GI complications (PPI)
- Will require feeder
- Tube feeding
- Poor oral health
- Requires suctioning
- Poor positioning

CLEARANCE

- Weak cough
- Supplemental O2
- Pulmonary disease



IMMUNE RESPONSE

- Medically compromised
- Weakness/decreased mobility
- <u>Age</u>
- Polypharmacy (5 or more)
- Nutrition risk
- Current infection

Quick Recap

Aspiration Pneumonia

- Aspiration ≠ Pneumonia
- Aspiration pneumonia is more likely to impact older, more fragile patients
- Understanding the diagnosis is the first step towards finding the right solution.







The Solution



Make Better Decisions



Change your mind

System 1

AUTOMATIC

(Kahneman, 2013)



System 2

CALCULATED

GOOD DECISIONS AND TRUSTED INTUITION



EXPERIENCE

How much experience do you have making this kind of decision?

$\frac{1}{1} \stackrel{\bullet}{\longrightarrow} \stackrel{\bullet}{\longrightarrow} \stackrel{\bullet}{\longrightarrow} \stackrel{\bullet}{\longrightarrow}$

FEEDBACK

Can you receive accurate and timely feedback on the outcome of your decision?



HUMILITY

Do you have the humility to know your limitations and adjust as needed?

(Kahneman, 2013; Sibony, 2020; Tetlock & Gardner, 2016)



Decision Making Process

Makes the way we make decisions more transparent for ourselves (and others) to critique and improve





Identify the Problem

What questions are you trying to answer? Gather info, hypothesize, test, reflect and make a diagnosis.



Identify the Factors

What are the research-based risk factors? What are the patient factors? (e.g. preferences, goals, etc.).

Decision Making

IN 6 STEPS

Assess the Factors

Learn as much as you can about each factor and discuss with the team (Including the patient!) Be objective.



What will have the best chance to help the patient reach their goal based on the factors? Weigh the pros/cons.



What didn't you choose and why? Can you combine approaches? Is doing nothing an option?



Adjust as Needed

Don't get too attached to your plan. Use new information to adjust course and stay on target.



HYPOTHESIS GENERATION

Avoid bias

DIAGNOSIS

Lead us to a good vs accurate decision

Identify The Problem

DATA GATHERING

Initial chart review, interviews, and CSE

HYPOTHESIS TESTING

FEES, MBSS, standardized measurements

Identify The Factors 2

Risk factors

Medical stability Risk for aspiration of harmful contents Pulmonary clearance Immune response

Patient factors

Preferences, goals, and expectations Risk tolerance



Assess 3 The Factors

Risk (1-5)

Medical stability Risk for aspiration of harmful contents Pulmonary clearance Immune response



Patient (1-10)

Preferences, goals, and expectations Risk tolerance



Costs (1-10)

- Increased risk for aspiration pneumonia?
- Increased risk for cardiopulmonary decline?

Generate an Approach



Benefits (1-5)

- Quality of life
- Improved secretion management
- Improved oral health
- Pharyngeal strengthening



5 Alternatives

DON'T LEAVE ANY STONE UNTURNED

People typically get stuck on one intervention, but there can be an endless combination of potential options.









CHANGE COURSE AS NEEDED

Use new information to stay on target.

Try, fail, analyze, adjust, try again.





(Parrish, 2023)

Assessment

Understanding the problem

Instrumental study

MBSS OR FEES

Direct imaging of the anatomy and physiology is the best way to evaluate a patient's risk of aspirating.

But remember, aspiration is not a significant, independent risk factor for aspiration pneumonia.

(Langmore, 1998)



When should I recommend an instrumental study?





Clinical Evaluation

WHAT IF I DON'T HAVE ACCESS TO AN INSTRUMENTAL STUDY?

Being conservative and making a patient NPO might be the way to go if you can do an swallow study in the next 24 hours, but what if this isn't a reality for you?

One of the best indicators of aspiration is a cough or throat clear after the swallow. Consider a comprehensive clinical evaluation with a 3 oz, consecutive water swallow test to assess risk.





Dual axis accelerometry (Moss et al., 2020; O'Horo et al., 2015)

SECRETION MANAGEMENT

Swallow frequency (.98 vs .21) and oral pooling

(Brady et al., 2016; Bulmer et al., 2021; Langmore, 1998; Murray et al., 1996)

CRANIAL NERVE ASSESSMENT

Lingual range of motion (Leder et al., 2013

COGNITION

Orientation and direction following (Leder et al., 2009)

Flying blind

Knowing what we know is great. So is knowing what we DON'T know. What CAN'T we see at bedside?

- Pharyngeal dysphagia (O'Horo et al., 2015)

- oximeter

(Britton et al., 2018; O'Horo et al., 2015)

- Determining wet vocal quality

(Oonuma et al., 2022; dos Santos et al., 2022; Groves-Wright & Kelchner, 2010)



• Oropharyngeal physiology and timeliness • Hyolaryngeal elevation/excursion (Brates et al., 2019; Davidson et al., 2020) • Aspiration with signs, symptoms, or use of a pulse

• Tolerance of thickened liquids (Miles et al., 2018)

Interview the patient

Complaints (dysphagia, odynophagia, globus sensation, etc.)

Self assessment: How does this impact their life?

Consider a questionnaire for diagnosis, severity, monitoring outcomes, and improving decision making



Limited in projecting specific outcomes (Zhang et al., 2022)



MARIE 88 YOF ADMITTED WITH WEIEGHT LOSS

Clinical swallow evaluation showed no issues.

In-depth interview revealed globus sensation below the thyroid cartilage.

Referral to GI found achalasia significantly improved with LES stretching.





MD ANDERSON DYSPHAGIA INVENTORY (MDADI)

SWALLOWING QUALITY OF LIFE QUESTIONNAIRE (SWAL-QOL)



EATING ASSESSMENT TOOL (EAT-10)



Zoom Out

Don't get caught stuck on any one risk factor. A comprehensive assessment of all relevant risk factors should be considered together with the interdisciplinary team (IDT) in order to take in the full picture. The more information you get, the clearer the picture.



Where does dysphagia fit?

Use the IDT to fill in your gaps in the knowledge and help them fill in their gaps. It's not only about if there is dysphagia or even what the severity is, but is more about what the dysphagia means for the patient. Some things to consider:

- Etiology
- Prognosis
- Comfort, pleasure, and quality of life



ALIDA 92 YOF COUGHING ON LIQUIDS

Patient reports that she has been coughing on liquids for as long as she can remember. Family confirms at least 10 years.

FEES reveals trace, aspiration with thin on 1/6 trials increased with larger volumes.

No history of pneumonia and declining thickened liquids.



What should I recommend at bedside?



Interventions



How can we help?

<u>Managing risk</u>



Every decision carries risk. Figure out how it can be mitigated

What is most meaningful to the patient?



Always use the team to weigh all factors (Kao & Couzin, 2014)





Slow and steady

With high risk, we want to ooch forward.

A conservative approach allows us time to continue to assess for stability.




Tracking the trends

The best way to tell the path of your patient's trajectory is by tracking the data. Knowing how the patient is doing in key criteria will help you determine where they are, how they've been, and in which direction they're heading.





(Laursen et al., 2013; U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2022)

RESPIRATORY CHANGES

Desaturation Dyspnea and increased work of breathing Supplemental oxygen needs

ABNORMAL IMAGING

CXR (69% accurate) CT scan of the chest

SIGNS OF INFECTION

Fever Leukocytosis Sputum culture Bronchoscopy Thoracentesis

Medical Stability

VITALS

Are their vital signs stable?

- Temperature
- Blood pressure
- Heart rate
- Respiratory rate
- Pain

TRAJECTORY

Is the patient getting better, worse, or staying the same?

VARIABILITY

Is your patient's status changing daily?

MEDICAL COMPLEXITY

To know where we are going we have to know where we've been.

What's the medical history and baseline status?



KEVIN 63 YOM ON HIGH-FLOW NASAL CANNULA

Instrumental study shows deep penetration of thin liquids with concerns of inhalation after the swallow given tachypnea worsening throughout meals.

Trained on compensatory strategies: Supraglottic swallow. Began with ice chips then water then pleasure puree with mildly thick liquids then gradually advanced to soft and then regular/thin all while tracking the patients trends in lab work, imaging, and vitals.

Observe changes? Discuss with the team, reassess, and adjust as needed.



Start with water

- Neutral pH
- Cannot obstruct airway
- Aquaporins
- We are mostly water!

Water may help with:

- Hydration
- Secretion management
- Quality of life
- Compliance

Bronson-Lowe et al., 2008; Carlaw et al., 2012; Gillman et al., 2017; Panther, 2005; Pearson & Hutton, 2002; West, 2015)



It's considered low risk for mobile patients with good oral care and intact cognition.

Water Protocol

INTACT COGNITION

Or have adequate assistance to follow guidelines

TIMING

Bronson-Lowe et al., 2008; Carlaw et al., 2012; Gillman et al., 2017; Panther, 2005; Pearson & Hutton, 2002; West, 2015)

©George Barnes/NSS

Before and at least 30 min after meals



MOBILE

Patients who can engage in at least 3h of therapy are at lower risk

ADEQUATE ORAL HEALTH

At least 3x/day with oral moisurizer

Disclaimer: Water protocols are not well-researched in high-risk patient populations

Ice Ice Baby

MANAGING SECRETIONS

STIMULATES THE **NEURORECEPTORS** AND FACILITATES OROPHARYNGEAL MOBILITY



SMALL, COMPACT, AND EASY TO MANIPULATE

COMFORT, PLEASURE, AND QUALITY OF LIFE

(Panther, 2005; Pisegna & Langmore, 2018)

Ice Chip Protocol

Uses the same theory as the water protocol.

Consider for patients who are bedbound with higher risk.

Must be alert with upright posture.

Protocol: 3 ice chip trials assessed via FEES

9/9 NPO patients showed no adverse reactions and 7 showed improved secretion management.

(Pisegna & Langmore, 2018)





(Pisegna & Langmore, 2018)

GOOD

- Complete or adequate oral control and manipulation
- No lengthy spillage anteriorly/posteriorly
- Quick and timely initiation of the swallow
- If aspiration occurred, a spontaneous cough/throat clear was successful at clearing the aspirate
- Secretions reduced, if they were present
- The patient became more awake and alert

FAIR

- Reduced oral control
- Mild to moderate spillage anteriorly/posteriorly
- Delayed initiation of the swallow
- If aspiration occurs, a spontaneous or cued cough/throat clear is inconsistently successful
- Same or reduced secretions and/or secretions are mobilized to be suctioned, coughed up, or swallowed
- Each trial of ice chips seemed slightly better than the prior

POOR

- No initiation of the swallow (two to three times)
- Consistent spillage of whole ice chips into the larynx
- Aspiration with no spontaneous response for more than three times OR cued cough/throat clear was unsuccessful OR silent aspiration occurred more than three times
- Excessive coughing resulting in shortness of breath
- Significant change in vitals to outside of normal limits
- Increase in amount of secretions, which are not cleared despite cueing
- An excessively gurgly voice with no success at spontaneous or cued clearing





Oral care

Reduces the risk of aspiration pneumonia.

Up to a billion bacteria may reside on a clean tooth!

Brush (not swab) 2-3x/day with moisturizer and suction excess secretions. Chlorohyxedine as needed.

Dentures: Remove at least twice/day, brush, rinse, and use solution overnight.

Azarpazhooh & Leake, 2006; Gupta, 2016; Leder et al., 2013; Pearson & Hutton, 2002; Sarangi et al., 2021; Yoneyama et al., 2002





THICKENED LIQUIDS

Thickening fluids is our most widely used intervention to reduce the risk of aspiration.

May reduce the risk of aspiration (i.e. improve timing and control), but also may increase residue (thicker = heavier).

There is a higher risk for silently aspirating thickened liquids vs thin liquids. Therefore, it should only be used after a swallow study proves its efficacy. (Miles et al., 2018)

No significant increase in aspiration pneumonia for thin liquids with safety strategies vs thickened liquids in those with low risk of pneumonia. (Kaneoka et al., 2016)



(Clavé et al., 2006; Logemann et al., 2008;)

~)

If a tree falls in the forest...

Would anybody hear it? Similarly, if your patient won't follow your plan of care, is it worth making it?

People dislike thickened liquids and are unlikely to drink them. Compliance may improve if used short-term and patients understand why they are recommended.

(Matta et al., 2006; McCurti<u>n et al., 2017)</u>



Kantham-Gum

- More stable
- Safer

(Vilardell et al., 2015; Matta et al., 2006)

• Thicken over time • Causes more harm when aspirated

Starch-Based

(Logemann et al., 2008; Robbins et al., 2008)

11% pna in PD and dementia

CHIN DOWN WITH THIN

- Highest aspiration risk
- Most preferred by patients
- No increase in aspiration pneumonia

NECTAR

- Middle ground in aspiration risk and preference
- Increased risk of dehydration, UTI, and fever
- Less likely to develop aspiration pneumonia than honey thick

HONEY

- Lowest aspiration risk
- Least preferred
- Increased risk of dehydration, UTI, and fever
- Most likely to cause aspiration pneumonia

Thick vs Thin Liquid in Rabbit and Rat Studies

HARMFUL

Inflammation, interstitial congestion, and alveolar edema

FATAL

Aspirating large amounts of thickener may be fatal

RECOVERY

Inflammation may last for at least 10 days after aspiration event



Nativ-Zeltzer et al., 2018; Nativ-Zeltzer et al., 2021)

QUANTITY

Recurrent micro-aspiration may also result in significant inflammation

ΤΥΡΕ

Increased damage from cornstarch vs xantham gum including alveolar hemorrhaging and death

Dehydration

The bioavailability of thickened liquids is about the same as thin liquids. However, they...

- Don't taste good
- Make you fuller, faster
- People don't understand why they're drinking them

Therefore, people don't drink as much of them. However, this depends highly on symptoms of dysphagia and patient preferences.





MEDICATION BIOAVAILABILITY

Thickened liquids may actually slow down the breakdown of the chemical compounds in certain medications. This means, time-released medications may be compromised as they take longer than anticipated to enter the bloodstream.

A discussion with the IDT including the pharmacist will tell you which medications may be impacted.

(Manrique et al., 2016)

But can they help?





Thickened benefits?

MAY REDUCE ANXIETY

If it significantly improves dysphagia symptoms (Verdonschot et al., 2013)

SHORT-TERM USE

May have improved compliance and may decrease the risk of aspiration in the short-term when the stakes are high

©George Barnes/NSS

REDUCES RISK OF ASPIRATION

Improved timing and control

(Clavé et al., 2006; Logemann et al., 2008)

MAY IMPROVE COMFORT

Decreased coughing due to improved airway protection

UMA 71 YOF WITH MEDICAL COMPLEXITY

Confirmed aspiration on thin liquids. Tolerating nectar thick, but declines secondary to quality of life issues.

Pt placed on a soft diet with water in between meals after oral care as long as she participates with therapy and goes for walks at least 3x/day.





CAROL 67 YOF S/P DEHYDRATION AND UTI

Coughing immediately following thin liquids on 3/12 trials.

No access to instrumental study.

No respiratory compromise and no change in mentation. Preference to continue thin liquids with small sips.



Thickend liquids

Silent aspiration Pharyngeal residue Inflammation/fibrosis Pneumonia Dehydration UTI Bioavailability of medication Decreased quality of life

Thin liquids

Aspiration and associated respiratory conditions Possible discomfort if coughing



Thick or Thin

DURATION AND PROGNOSIS

How long has this been going on for and how long is it anticipated to last? What are the stakes of aspiration?

PATIENT PREFERENCES

Does the patient understand and agree with the recommendation?

CLINICAL ASSESSMENT

Is there significantly more coughing on thin liquids? Is there a risk of silent aspiration?

ACCESS TO MBSS/FEES

When can a swallow study be conducted?

ALTERNATIVES

WATER/ICE



STRATEGIES & EXERCISES



THICKENER TYPE



Do No Harm

(Hallett, 2005)



Quick Recap

What works?

- If a swallow study is not available, use a comprehensive, structured, measurable clinical assessment.
- Water/ice may be a good option if risk is high and pt not a good candidate for thickened.
- Modifying consistencies should be the last resort.







The Practical



Derek admitted (Day 1)

- 67 YOM with hypercarbic/hypoxic **respiratory failure** on 5/2/23 secondary to **COPD** exacerbation
- Developed **ARDS** and respiratory driven **cardiac arrest**
- Complications: L hemothorax, CHF exacerbation and necrotizing **pneumonia**
- Intubated **x11 days** and **trach/vent** placed
- History: OSA on CPAP at night, CVA, COPD, CHF, DMII, HTN, HLD, BPH



Initial assessments

- Day 1
 - **A/C** vent support
 - Glasgow Coma Scale = 15
 - Oral Health Assessment Tool = 0
- Day 2
 - Trach collar (SpO2 **88-92%**)
 - Tolerates speaking valve x1 hour
- Day 3
 - Tolerates speaking valve x5m
 - Arterial Blood Gas within normal range
- Day 4
 - Tolerating speaking valve for 3 hours with spo2 88-95%





INTUBATION

Dysphagia is 50% likely

TRACH STATUS

81% likely to silently aspirate

(Brodsky et al., 2017; Marvin & Thibeault, 2021)

Base Rates



ASPIRATION

- Cognitive deficits
- Dysphagia
- GI complications (PPI)
- Will require feeder
- Tube feeding
- Poor oral health/Xerostomia
- Requires suctioning
- Poor positioning
- Meds impacting alertness

CLEARANCE

- Weak cough
- Supplemental O2
- Mechanical ventilation
- Pulmonary disease



IMMUNE RESPONSE

- Medically compromised
- Weakness/decreased mobility/dependence
- Age
- Polypharmacy (5 or more)
- Nutrition risk
- Current infection

Derek



ASPIRATION

- Dysphagia
- Tube feeding
- Requires suctioning

CLEARANCE

- Weak cough
- Supplemental O2 (35%)
- Pulmonary disease



IMMUNE RESPONSE

- Medically compromised
- Age
- Polypharmacy (5 or more)
- Nutrition risk (12% loss)

Questions

WHAT QUESTIONS ARE WE TRYING TO ANSWER?

- Is he stable enough for a swallow evaluation?
- How should we evaluate the swallowing?
- How is he trending, medically?
- Can he maintain adequate SpO2?
- What are the risks involved with PO intake at this time?





Hypothesis

Moderate to severe dysphagia with high risk for dysphagia-related aspiration pneumonia



Cranial nerve assessment is grossly within normal limits.

Hypothesis Testing Day 4

What's the best next step?


When should I recommend an instrumental study?





What should I recommend at bedside?



PAS 5: Timely closure, but suspect minimally incomplete probably secondary to incomplete epiglottic retroflexion.

FEES #1 Day 5



(Kuo et al., 2017; Neubauer et al. 2015; O'Neil et al., 1999; Rosenbek et al., 1996),

EDEMA

Moderate laryngeal **edema** (Epiglottis, arytenoids, vocal folds, aryepiglottic folds)

EFFICIENCY

Mastication: Functional Yale Residue Scale: Moderate in the valleculae and mild in the pyriform sinuses **Murray Secretion Scale**: 3 (Improves with PO)

SAFETY

Dysphagia Outcome and Severity Scale (DOSS): 3



Summary

 Incomplete airway closure, decreased bolus propulsion, increased secretions resulting in moderate pharyngeal residue and trace, deep penetration on large volumes of thin liquid after the swallow. Improved tolerance with compensatory strategies: small sips, hard/fast swallow, alternate solids/liquids, and multiple swallows.

Weaknesses:

- Decreased base of tongue retraction, posterior pharyngeal wall stripping wave, cricopharyngeal segment opening, and incomplete epiglottic retroflexion
- Possible decrease in hyolaryngeal elevation/excursion
- Decreased **sensation and weak cough** Strengths:
 - Motivated, able to follow directions, and great support from his wife
- Improved clearance and protection with strategies







STRATEGIES

Small bites/sips, hard/fast swallow, and multiple swallows

ORAL CARE

Prior to PO with moisturizer

ICE CHIPS

No more than 3 oz TID, 1-3 per spoon as tolerated with a speaking valve

MONITOR

Monitor for aspiration and discontinue diet as needed

EXERCISES

Effortful swallows and EMST



WHAT'S THE DIAGNOSIS?

Moderate pharyngeal dysphagia

Increased risk of decline.



Identify The Factors 2

Risk factors

Medical stability Risk for aspiration of harmful contents Pulmonary clearance Immune response

Patient factors

Preferences, goals, and expectations Risk tolerance



Assess 3 The Factors

Risk factors (16)

Medical stability (4) Risk for aspiration of harmful contents (4) Pulmonary clearance (4) Immune response (4)



Patient factors (15)

Preferences, goals, and expectations (8) Risk tolerance (7)



A Ice chips

Costs (10)

- Increased risk for aspiration pneumonia (5)
- Increased risk for cardiopulmonary decline (5)

Benefits (18)



• Quality of life (5) • Improved secretion management (5) • Improved oral health (3) • Pharyngeal strengthening (5)



5 Alternatives

DON'T LEAVE ANY STONE UNTURNED

- Fewer/more ice chips
- NPO
- Pleasure puree and nectar thick liquids
- Alternative exercises











CHANGE COURSE AS NEEDED

Use new information to adjust course and stay on target.





Outcome range

Continue to improve

Stable status

Decline in respiratory status

Expectations

Will tolerate well and continue to improve.

Measure the uncertainty (20%)



(Tetlock & Gardner, 2016)



Derek



ASPIRATION

- Dysphagia (Exercises and strategies)
- Edema (Steroids)
- Tube feeding (Continue as tolerated)
- Requires suctioning (Speaking valve)

CLEARANCE

- Weak cough (EMST)
- Supplemental O2 (35%)
- Pulmonary disease



IMMUNE RESPONSE

- Medically compromised
- Age
- Polypharmacy (5 or more)
- Nutrition risk (12% loss)

(Repeat FEES and advance as tolerated)

FEES #2 Day 11

(Kuo et al., 2017; Neubauer et al. 2015; O'Neil et al., 1999; Rosenbek et al., 1996)

EDEMA

Mild laryngeal edema

EFFICIENCY

Yale Residue Scale: No change Murray Secretion Scale: No change

SAFETY

PAS 2: Significant improvement

Dysphagia Outcome and Severity Scale (DOSS): 4





Summary

Improved airway closure, decreased bolus propulsion, increased secretions resulting in moderate pharyngeal residue and trace, deep transient penetration secondary to residue at the level of the posterior commissure. Residue/penetration improved by alternating solids/liquids and eliciting multiple swallows.

Weaknesses:

- Similar to last assessment with less edema and possible improvement in hyolaryngeal elevation/excursion
- Minimal improvement in sensation and cough Strengths:
- Same







STRATEGIES

Hard/fast swallow, alt solids/liquids, and multiple swallows

ORAL CARE

Prior to PO with moisturizer

DIET

Pleasure puree and thin liquids as tolerated with speaking valve.

MONITOR

Monitor for aspiration and discontinue diet as needed

EXERCISES

Effortful swallows and EMST



WHAT'S THE DIAGNOSIS?

Mild oropharyngeal swallow

What does this say about the level of risk?



Identify The Factors 2

Risk factors

Medical stability Risk for aspiration of harmful contents Pulmonary clearance Immune response

Patient factors

Preferences, goals, and expectations Risk tolerance



Assess 3 The Factors

Risk factors (14)

Medical stability (4) Risk for aspiration of harmful contents (2) Pulmonary clearance (4) Immune response (4)



Patient factors (15)

Preferences, goals, and expectations (8) Risk tolerance (7)





Costs (10)

- Increased risk for aspiration pneumonia (5)
- Increased risk for cardiopulmonary decline (5)

Benefits (18)



• Quality of life (5) • Improved secretion management (5) • Improved oral health (3) • Pharyngeal strengthening (5)



5 Alternatives

DON'T LEAVE ANY STONE UNTURNED

- Continue ice chips only
- Mech soft
- Thickened liquids
- Alternative exercises







Outcome range

Continue to improve

Stable status

Decline in respiratory status

Expectations

Will tolerate well and continue to improve.

Measure the uncertainty (15%)



(Tetlock & Gardner, 2016)





Day 14: Increased CO2, change in mentation, impulsive eating, and witness coughing on pudding with PO suspected in patient's tracheal secretions.



HYPERCAPNIC RESPIRATORY FAILURE

Returned to mechanical ventilation.



Identify The Factors 2

Risk factors

Medical stability Risk for aspiration of harmful contents Pulmonary clearance Immune response

Patient factors

Preferences, goals, and expectations Risk tolerance



Assess 3 The Factors

Risk factors (19)

Medical stability (5) Risk for aspiration of harmful contents (5) Pulmonary clearance (5) Immune response (4)



Patient factors (2)

Preferences, goals, and expectations (1) Risk tolerance (1)



Diet?

Costs (20)

- Increased risk for aspiration pneumonia (10)
- Increased risk for cardiopulmonary decline (10)

Benefits (8)



• Quality of life (1) • Improved secretion management (1) • Improved oral health (1) • Pharyngeal strengthening (5)

The Decision

ICE CHIPS: STRICT NPO

Expectations: Will take a few days to stabilize. Advance further once stable and monitor closely.

Measure the uncertainty (25%).

(Tetlock & Gardner, 2016)





5 Alternatives

DON'T LEAVE ANY STONE UNTURNED

- Ice chips
- Continue pleasure puree/thin
- Keep NPO and don't reassess







Outcome range

Stay on the vent

Progress off the vent

Continue to decline




CHANGE COURSE AS NEEDED

Day 18: **Liberated** from vent and **capping** trials begin. ABG stays within acceptable range.



Road to Recovery

Ongoing assessment

Continues to tolerate at bedside without significant difficulty.

PEG weaning

Good appetite. Bolus feeds then weaned from PEG and PPI.





PO advancement

• Pleasure puree (Day 19) • Full trays of puree (Day 25) • Regular (Day 28).



Vent at night

Maintain vent at night and capped trach during the day.



Objective information helped guide a path forward.

Could I have just been unlucky when he declined?

Would I have done anything differently knowing what I know now?

What was learned?

Take the long road.

Takeaways...

We all make mistakes. But we can be better.

Understanding aspiration pneumonia pathogenesis allows us to help the IDT make a differential diagnosis.

Using decision guidelines and quantifiable measurements is valuable when addressing complex problems.

Knowing the risk factors for aspiration pneumonia and associated conditions helps us make accurate predictions.



What it's all about

A clinician can only be judged by the quality of the decisions they make.

Better decisions. Better outcomes.





PRESENTER

George Barnes MS, CCC-SLP, BCS-S

EMAIL

George@FEESibleSwallowSolutions.com

BLOG

FEESibleSwallowSolutions.com/blog



Akbiyik, A., Hepçivici, Z., Eşer, I. et al. The effect of oropharyngeal aspiration before position change on reducing the incidence of ventilator- associated pneumonia. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 40, 615–622 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1007/s10096-019-03789-4

Azarpazhooh, A., & Leake, J. L. (2006). Systematic review of the association between respiratory diseases and oral health. Journal of Periodontology, 77(9), 1465-1482. https://doi.org/10.1902/jop.2006.060010

Brady, S. L., Wesling, M. W., Donzelli, J. J., & Kaszuba, S. (2016). Swallowing Frequency: Impact of Accumulated Oropharyngeal Secretion Levels and Gustatory Stimulation. Ear, Nose &Amp; Throat Journal, 95(2), E7–E9. https://doi.org/10.1177/014556131609500203

Brates, D., Molfenter, S. M., & Thibeault, S. L. (2019). Assessing hyolaryngeal excursion: Comparing quantitative methods to palpation at the bedside and visualization during videofluoroscopy. Dysphagia, 34(3), 298–307. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00455-018-9927-2

Britton, D., Roeske, A., Ennis, S. K., Benditt, J. O., Quinn, C., & Graville, D. (2018). Utility of pulse oximetry to detect aspiration: An evidence-based systematic review. Dysphagia, 33(3), 282–292. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00455-017-9868-1

Brodsky, M. B., Huang, M., Shanholtz, C., Mendez-Tellez, P. A., Palmer, J. B., Colantuoni, E., & Needham, D. M. (2017). Recovery from dysphagia symptoms after oral endotracheal intubation in acute respiratory distress syndrome survivors. A 5-year longitudinal study. Annals of the American Thoracic Society, 14(3), 376–383. https://doi.org/10.1513/annalsats.201606-455oc

Bronson-Lowe, C. R., Leising, K., Bronson-Lowe, D., Lanham, S., Hayes, S., Ronquillo, A. M., & Blake, P. A. (2008). Effects of a free water protocol for patients with dysphagia. Dysphagia, 23(4), 430.

Bulmer, J. M., Ewers, C., Drinnan, M. J., & Ewan, V. C. (2021). Evaluation of Spontaneous Swallow Frequency in Healthy People and Those With, or at Risk of Developing, Dysphagia: A Review. Gerontology and Geriatric Medicine, 7, 233372142110418. https://doi.org/10.1177/23337214211041801

Butler, S. G., Stuart, A. M., Markley, L., Feng, X., & Kritchevsky, S. B. (2017). Aspiration as a Function of Age, Sex, Liquid Type, Bolus Volume, and Bolus Delivery Across the Healthy Adult Life Span. Annals of Otology, Rhinology, and Laryngology, 127(1), 21–32. https://doi.org/10.1177/0003489417742161

Carlaw, C., Finlayson, H., Beggs, K., Visser, T., Marcoux, C., Coney, D., & Steele, C. M. (2012). Outcomes of a pilot water protocol project in a rehabilitation setting. Dysphagia, 27(3), 297–306. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00455-011-9366-9

Chalmers JM, King PL, Spencer AJ, Wright FA, Carter KD. The oral health assessment tool--validity and reliability. Aust Dent J. 2005 Sep;50(3):191-9. doi: 10.1111/j.1834-7819.2005.tb00360.x. PMID: 16238218.

Chalmers, J.D., Taylor, J.K., Singanayagam, A., Fleming, G.B., Akram, A.R., Mandal, P., et al., (2011). Epidemiology, Antibiotic Therapy, and Clinical outcomes in Health Care-Associated Pneumonia: A UK cohort study. Clinical Infectious Diseases, 53 (2), 107-113. doi: 10.1093/cid/cir274 <u>http://cid.oxfordjournals.org/content/53/2/107</u>.

Chi, S. Y., Kim, T. O., Park, C. W., Yu, J. Y., Lee, B., Lee, H. S., Kim, Y. I., Lim, S. C., & Kwon, Y. S. (2012). Bacterial Pathogens of Ventilator Associated Pneumonia in a Tertiary Referral Hospital. Tuberculosis and Respiratory Diseases, 73(1), 32. https://doi.org/10.4046/trd.2012.73.1.32

Cho, S. Y., Choung, R. S., Saito, Y. A., Schleck, C. D., Zinsmeister, A. R., Locke, G. R., & Talley, N. J. (2014). Prevalence and risk factors for dysphagia: A USA community study. Neurogastroenterology & Motility, 27(2), 212–219. https://doi.org/10.1111/nmo.12467

Cichero, J. A. (2013). Thickening agents used for dysphagia management: effect on bioavailability of water, medication and feelings of satiety. Nutrition Journal, 12(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/1475-2891-12-54

Clavé, P., De Kraa, M., Arreola, V., Girvent, M., Farré, R., Palomera, E., and Serra-Prat, M. (2006). The effect of bolus viscosity on swallowing function in neurogenic dysphagia. Alimentary Pharmacology & Therapeutics, 24, 1385-1394. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2036.2006.03118.x

Coyle, J. L., & Matthews, C. (2010). A dilemma in dysphagia management: Is aspiration pneumonia the chicken or the egg? *The ASHA Leader, 15*(6), 14–17. https://doi.org/10.1044/leader.ftr2.15062010.14

Davidson, M. J., Nielsen, P. M. F., Taberner, A. J., & Kruger, J. A. (2020). Is it time to rethink using digital palpation for assessment of muscle stiffness? *Neurourology and Urodynamics, 39*(1), 279–285. https://doi.org/10.1002/nau.24192





de Jager, C. P. C., Wever, P. C., Gemen, E. F. A., van Oijen, M. G. H., van Gageldonk-Lafeber, A. B., Siersema, P. D., Kusters, G. C. M., & Laheij, R. J. F. (2012). Proton pump inhibitor therapy predisposes to community-acquired Streptococcus pneumoniae pneumonia. *Alimentary Pharmacology & Therapeutics, 36*(10), 941–949. https://doi.org/10.1111/apt.12069

dos Santos, K. W., da Cunha Rodrigues, E., Rech, R. S., da Ros Wendland, E. M., Neves, M., Hugo, F. N., & Hilgert, J. B. (2022). Using voice change as an indicator of Dysphagia: A systematic review. *Dysphagia, 37*(4), 736–748. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00455-021-10319-y

Featherston, R., Downie, L. E., Vogel, A. P., & Galvin, K. L. (2020). Decision making biases in the allied health professions: A systematic scoping review. PLOS ONE, 15(10). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0240716

Feinberg, M. J., Knebl, J., & Tully, J. (1996). Prandial aspiration and pneumonia in an elderly population followed over 3 years. Dysphagia, 11(2), 104-109. doi:10.1007/bf00417899

Finucane T E, Bynum J P. (1996). Use of tube feeding to prevent aspiration pneumonia. Lancet, 348: 1421-1424.

Fukuba, N., Nishida, M., Hayashi, M., Furukawa, N., Ishitobi, H., Nagaoka, M., Takahashi, Y., Fukuhara, H., Yuki, M., Komazawa, Y., Sato, S., & Shizuku, T. (2020). The relationship between polypharmacy and hospital-stay duration: A retrospective study. Cureus. https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.7267



Gillman, A., Winkler, R., & Taylor, N. F. (2017). Implementing the free water protocol does not result in aspiration pneumonia in carefully selected patients with dysphagia: A systematic review. *Dysphagia*, *32*(3), 345–361. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00455-016-9761-3

Groves-Wright, K. J., Boyce, S., & Kelchner, L. (2010). Perception of wet vocal quality in identifying penetration/aspiration during swallowing. *Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research, 53*(3), 620–632. https://doi.org/10.1044/1092-4388(2009/08-0246)

Gupta, A., Gupta, A., Singh, T., & Saxsena, A. (2016). Role of oral care to prevent VAP in mechanically ventilated Intensive Care Unit patients. *Saudi Journal of Anaesthesia, 10*(1), 95. https://doi.org/10.4103/1658-354x.169484

Hallett, C. E. (2005). The Attempt to understand puerperal fever in the eighteenth and early Nineteenth centuries: The Influence of Inflammation Theory. Medical History, 49(1), 1–28. https://doi.org/10.1017/s0025727300000119

Herzig, S. J. (2009). Acid-suppressive medication use and the risk for hospital-acquired pneumonia. JAMA, 301(20), 2120. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2009.722





Kahneman, D. (2013). *Thinking, fast and slow*. Farrar, Straus and Giroux.

Kalil AC, Metersky ML, Klompas M, (2016). Management of adults with hospital-acquired and ventilatorassociated pneumonia: 2016 clinical practice guidelines by the Infectious Diseases Society of America and the American Thoracic Society. *Clin Infect Dis 63*(5):e61–111

Kaneoka, A., Pisegna, J. M., Saito, H., Lo, M., Felling, K., Haga, N., LaValley, M. P., & Langmore, S. E. (2016). A systematic review and meta-analysis of pneumonia associated with thin liquid vs. thickened liquid intake in patients who aspirate. *Clinical Rehabilitation*, *31*(8), 1116–1125. https://doi.org/10.1177/0269215516677739

Kaneoka, A., Pisegna, J. M., Inokuchi, H., Ueha, R., Goto, T., Nito, T., Stepp, C. E., LaValley, M. P., Haga, N., & Langmore, S. E. (2017). Relationship between laryngeal sensory deficits, aspiration, and pneumonia in patients with dysphagia. *Dysphagia*, *33*(2), 192–199. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00455-017-9845-8

Kao, A. B., & Couzin, I. D. (2014). Decision accuracy in complex environments is often maximized by small group sizes. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: *Biological Sciences, 281*(1784), 20133305. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2013.3305





Koenig, S. M., & Truwit, J. D. (2006). Ventilator-associated pneumonia: Diagnosis, treatment, and prevention. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews, 19*(4), 637–657. https://doi.org/10.1128/cmr.00051-05

Kohn, L. T., Corrigan, J., & Donaldson, M. S. (2009). *To err is human: Building a safer health system*. National Academy Press.

Kollmeier, B. R. & Keenaghan, M. (2022). Aspiration risk. StatPearls Publishing. NBK470169. 29262188

Komiya, K., Rubin, B. K., Kadota, J.-ichi, Mukae, H., Akaba, T., Moro, H., Aoki, N., Tsukada, H., Noguchi, S., Shime, N., Takahashi, O., & Kohno, S. (2016). Prognostic implications of aspiration pneumonia in patients with community acquired pneumonia: A systematic review with meta-analysis. Scientific Reports, 6(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/srep38097

Kuo CW, Allen CT, Huang CC, Lee CJ. Murray secretion scale and fiberoptic endoscopic evaluation of swallowing in predicting aspiration in dysphagic patients. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol. 2017 Jun;274(6):2513-2519. doi: 10.1007/s00405-017-4522-y. Epub 2017 Mar 12. PMID: 28286927.





Laheij, R. J. F. (2004). Risk of community-acquired pneumonia and use of gastric acid–suppressive drugs. JAMA, 292(16), 1955. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.292.16.1955

Langmore, S. E., Skarupski, K. A., Park, P. S., & Fries, B. E. (2002). Predictors of aspiration pneumonia in nursing home residents. Dysphagia, 17(4), 298–307. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00455-002-0072-5

Langmore, S. E., Terpenning, M. S., Schork, A., Chen, Y., Murray, J. T., Lopatin, D., & Loesche, W. J. (1998). Predictors of aspiration pneumonia: How important is dysphagia? Dysphagia, 13(2), 69–81. https://doi.org/10.1007/pl00009559

Laursen, C. B., Sloth, E., Lambrechtsen, J., Lassen, A. T., Madsen, P. H., Henriksen, D. P., Davidsen, J. R., & Rasmussen, F. (2013). Diagnostic performance of chest X-ray for the diagnosis of community acquired pneumonia in acute admitted patients with respiratory symptoms. *Scandinavian Journal of Trauma, Resuscitation and Emergency Medicine, 21*(S2). https://doi.org/10.1186/1757-7241-21-s2-a21

Leder, S. B., Suiter, D. M., & Lisitano Warner, H. (2009). Answering orientation questions and following single-step verbal commands: Effect on aspiration status. *Dysphagia*, *24*(3), 290–295. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00455-008-9204-x





Leder, S. B., Suiter, D. M., Murray, J., & Rademaker, A. W. (2013). Can an oral mechanism examination contribute to the assessment of odds of aspiration? Dysphagia, 28(3), 370-374. doi:10.1007/s00455-012-9442-9

Lindenauer, P. K., Strait, K. M., Grady, J. N., Ngo, C. K., Parisi, M. L., Metersky, M., Ross, J. S., Bernheim, S. M., & Dorsey, K. (2018). Variation in the diagnosis of aspiration pneumonia and association with hospital pneumonia outcomes. *Annals of the American Thoracic Society, 15*(5), 562–569. https://doi.org/10.1513/annalsats.201709-728oc

Lo, W. L., Leu, H. B., Yang, M. C., Wang, D. H., & Hsu, M. L. (2019). Dysphagia and risk of aspiration pneumonia: A nonrandomized, pair-matched cohort study. *Journal of Dental Sciences, 14*(3), 241–247. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jds.2019.01.005

Logemann, J. A., Gensler, G., Robbins, J., Lindblad, A. S., Brandt, D., Hind, J. A., Kosek, S., Dikeman, K., Kazandjian, M., Gramigna, G. D., Lundy, D., McGarvey-Toler, S., & Miller Gardner, P. J. (2008). A randomized study of three interventions for aspiration of thin liquids in patients with dementia or Parkinson's disease. Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research : *JSLHR, 51*(1), 173–183. https://doi.org/10.1044/1092-4388(2008/013)





Manabe, T., Teramoto, S., Tamiya, N., Okochi, J., & Hizawa, N. (2015). Risk Factors for aspiration pneumonia in older adults. PLOS ONE, 10(10), e0140060. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0140060

Mandell, L. A., & Niederman, M. S. (2019). Aspiration pneumonia. *New England Journal of Medicine, 380*(7), 651–663. https://doi.org/10.1056/nejmra1714562

Manrique, Y. J., Sparkes, A. M., Cichero, J. A., Stokes, J. R., Nissen, L. M., & Steadman, K. J. (2016). Oral medication delivery in impaired swallowing: thickening liquid medications for safe swallowing alters dissolution characteristics. *Drug Development and Industrial Pharmacy, 42*(9), 1537–1544. https://doi.org/10.3109/03639045.2016.1151033

Marik, P. E. (2001). Aspiration pneumonitis and aspiration pneumonia. New England Journal of Medicine, 344(9), 665–671. https://doi.org/10.1056/nejm200103013440908

Marvin, S., & Thibeault, S. L. (2021). Predictors of aspiration and silent aspiration in patients with new tracheostomy. American Journal of Speech-Language Pathology, 30(6), 2554–2560. https://doi.org/10.1044/2021_ajslp-20-00377

Matta, Z., Chambers, E., Garcia, J. M., & Helverson, J. M. (2006). Sensory Characteristics of Beverages Prepared with Commercial Thickeners Used for Dysphagia Diets. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association, 106*(7), 1049–1054. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jada.2006.04.022





Matthay, M. A., Zemans, R. L., Zimmerman, G. A., Arabi, Y. M., Beitler, J. R., Mercat, A., Herridge, M., Randolph, A. G., & Calfee, C. S. (2019). Acute respiratory distress syndrome. *Nature Reviews Disease Primers, 5*(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41572-019-0069-0

McCurtin, A., Healy, C., Kelly, L., Murphy, F., Ryan, J., & Walsh, J. (2017). Plugging the patient evidence gap: what patients with swallowing disorders post-stroke say about thickened liquids*. *International Journal of Language* &*Amp; Communication Disorders, 53*(1), 30–39. https://doi.org/10.1111/1460-6984.12324

Miles, A., McFarlane, M., Scott, S., & Hunting, A. (2018). Cough response to aspiration in thin and thick fluids during FEES in hospitalized inpatients. International journal of language & communication disorders, 53(5), 909–918.https://doi.org/10.1111/1460-6984.12401

Miyata, E., Tanaka, A., Emori, H., Taruya, A., Miyai, S., & Sakagoshi, N. (2017). Incidence and risk factors for aspiration pneumonia after cardiovascular surgery in elderly patients. General Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery, 65(2), 96–101. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11748-016-0710-8

Moss, M., White, S. D., Warner, H., Dvorkin, D., Fink, D., Gomez-Taborda, S., Higgins, C., Krisciunas, G. P., Levitt, J. E., McKeehan, J., McNally, E., Rubio, A., Scheel, R., Siner, J. M., Vojnik, R., & Langmore, S. E. (2020). Development of an accurate bedside swallowing evaluation decision tree algorithm for detecting aspiration in acute respiratory failure survivors. Chest, 158(5), 1923–1933. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chest.2020.07.051

Murray J, Langmore SE, Ginsberg S, Dostie A. The significance of accumulated oropharyngeal secretions and swallowing frequency in predicting aspiration. Dysphagia. 1996;11:99–103. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00417898</u>.

Nativ-Zeltzer, N., Kuhn, M. A., Imai, D. M., Traslavina, R. P., Domer, A. S., Litts, J. K., Adams, B., & Belafsky, P. C. (2018). The effects of aspirated thickened water on survival and pulmonary injury in a rabbit model. *The Laryngoscope, 128*(2), 327–331. https://doi.org/10.1002/lary.26698

Nativ-Zeltzer, N., Nachalon, Y., Kaufman, M. W., Seeni, I. C., Bastea, S., Aulakh, S. S., Makkiyah, S., Wilson, M. D., Evangelista, L., Kuhn, M. A., Sahin, M., & Belafsky, P. C. (2021). Predictors of aspiration pneumonia and mortality in patients with dysphagia. The Laryngoscope, 132(6), 1172–1176. https://doi.org/10.1002/lary.29770

Neubauer PD, Rademaker AW, Leder SB. The Yale Pharyngeal Residue Severity Rating Scale: An Anatomically Defined and Image-Based Tool. Dysphagia. 2015 Oct;30(5):521-8. doi: 10.1007/s00455-015-9631-4. Epub 2015 Jun 7. PMID: 26050238.

O'Horo, J. C., Rogus-Pulia, N., Garcia-Arguello, L., Robbins, J. A., & Safdar, N. (2015). Bedside diagnosis of dysphagia: A systematic review. *Journal of Hospital Medicine, 10*(4), 256–265. https://doi.org/10.1002/jhm.2313

O'Neil KH, Purdy M, Falk J, Gallo L. The Dysphagia Outcome and Severity Scale. Dysphagia. 1999 Summer;14(3):139-45. doi: 10.1007/PL00009595. PMID: 10341109.

Ong, L. T. (2020). The association between pneumonia and heart failure. Clinical Pulmonary Medicine, 27(5), 125–130. https://doi.org/10.1097/cpm.00000000000371





Oonuma, K., Takahashi, K., Groher, M. E., & Ihara, Y. (2022). Detecting dysphagia using perceptual evaluation of vocal quality. *The Showa University Journal of Medical Sciences, 34*(3), 176–181. https://doi.org/10.15369/sujms.34.176

Palmer, P. M., & Padilla, A. H. (2021). Risk of an Adverse Event in Individuals Who Aspirate: A Review of Current Literature on Host Defenses and Individual Differences. https://doi.org/23814764000300140072

Panther, K. (2005). The Frazier Free Water Protocol. *Perspectives on Swallowing and Swallowing Disorders* (*Dysphagia*), 14(1), 4–9. https://doi.org/10.1044/sasd14.1.4

Parrish, S. (2023). Clear thinking: Turning Ordinary Moments into Extraordinary Results. Random House.

Pearson, L. S., & Hutton, J. L. (2002). A controlled trial to compare the ability of foam swabs and toothbrushes to remove dental plaque. *Journal of Advanced Nursing, 39*(5), 480–489. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2648.2002.02313.x

Pinker, S. (2021): Rationality: What it is, why it seems scarce, why it matters. Viking, an Imprint of Penguin Random House LLC.

Pisegna, J. M., & Langmore, S. E. (2018). The Ice Chip Protocol: A Description of the Protocol and Case Reports. *Perspectives of the ASHA Special Interest Groups, 3*(13), 28–46. https://doi.org/10.1044/persp3.sig13.28





Robbins J, Gensler G, Hind J, Logemann JA, Lindblad AS, Brandt D, Baum H, Lilienfeld D, Kosek S, Lundy D, Dikeman K, Kazandjian M, Gramigna GD, McGarvey-Toler S, Miller Gardner PJ. Comparison of 2 interventions for liquid aspiration on pneumonia incidence: a randomized trial. Ann Intern Med. 2008 Apr 1;148(7):509-18. doi: 10.7326/0003-4819-148-7-200804010-00007. Erratum in: Ann Intern Med. 2008 May 6;148(9):715. PMID: 18378947; PMCID: PMC2364726.

Rosenbek JC, Robbins JA, Roecker EB, Coyle JL, Wood JL. A penetration-aspiration scale. Dysphagia. 1996 Spring;11(2):93-8. doi: 10.1007/BF00417897. PMID: 8721066.

Sampson E.L. (2009) Enteric tube feeding for older people with advanced dementia. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* (2):CD007209

Sanivarapu RR, Gibson J. Aspiration Pneumonia. [Updated 2022 May 9]. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2022 Jan-. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK470459/

Santos, J., Ribeiro, O., Jesus, L., Assunção Matos, M. (2021). Interventions to Prevent Aspiration Pneumonia in Older Adults: An Updated Systematic Review. *Journal of Speech Language and Hearing Research, 64*(2), 464–480. https://doi.org/10.1044/2020_jslhr-20-00123

Saposnik, G., Redelmeier, D., Ruff, C. C., & Tobler, P. N. (2016). Cognitive biases associated with medical decisions: A systematic review. BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making, 16(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12911-016-0377-1





Sarangi, A., Sarangi, S., & Solaman, L. (2021). Oral care strategies in patients in intensive care units. *The Southwest Respiratory and Critical Care Chronicles, 9*(39), 48–52. https://doi.org/10.12746/swrccc.v9i39.783

Sethi, S. (2022, September). Aspiration pneumonitis and pneumonia - pulmonary disorders. Merck Manuals Professional Edition. Retrieved November 24, 2022, from https://www.merckmanuals.com/professional/pulmonary-disorders/pneumonia/aspiration-pneumonitis-andpneumonia#:~:text=Diagnosis,-Chest%20xray&text=For%20aspiration%20pneumonia%2C%20chest%20x,may%20show%20a%20cavitary%20lesion.

Sethi, S. (2022, November 19). Hospital-acquired pneumonia - lung and airway disorders. Merck Manuals Consumer Version. Retrieved November 23, 2022, from https://www.merckmanuals.com/home/lung-andairway-disorders/pneumonia/hospital-acquiredpneumonia#:~:text=Hospital%2Dacquired%20pneumonia%20is%20lung,in%20people%20who%20are%20hospit alized.





Sibony, O. (2020). You're About to Make a Terrible Mistake!: How Biases Distort Decision-Making and What You Can Do to Fight Them. Swift Press.

Steele, C. M., Molfenter, S. M., Bailey, G. L., Polacco, R. C., Waito, A. A., Zoratto, D. C. B. H., & Chau, T. (2011). Exploration of the utility of a brief swallow screening protocol with comparison to concurrent videofluoroscopy. *Canadian Journal of Speech-Language Pathology and Audiology, 35*(3), 228.

Suiter, D. M., & Leder, S. B. (2008). Clinical utility of the 3-Ounce water swallow test. *Dysphagia, 23*(3), 244–250. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00455-007-9127-y

Taylor, J. K., Fleming, G. B., Singanayagam, A., Hill, A. T., & Chalmers, J. D. (2013). Risk factors for aspiration in community-acquired pneumonia: Analysis of a hospitalized UK cohort. The American Journal of Medicine, 126(11), 995–1001. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjmed.2013.07.012

Teramoto, S., Fukuchi, Y., Sasaki, H., Sato, K., Sekizawa, K., & Matsuse, T. (2008). High incidence of aspiration pneumonia in community- and hospital-acquired pneumonia in hospitalized patients: A multicenter, prospective study in Japan. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society, 56*(3), 577–579. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1532-5415.2008.01597.x





Terpenning, M. (2005). Geriatric oral health and pneumonia risk. *Clinical Infectious Diseases, 40*(12), 1807-1810. doi:10.1086/430603

Terpenning, M. S., Taylor, G. W., Lopatin, D. E., Kerr, C. K., Dominguez, B. L., & Loesche, W. J. (2001). Aspiration pneumonia: Dental and oral risk factors in older veteran population. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society, 49*(5), 557–563. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1532-5415.2001.49113.x

Tetlock, P. E., & Gardner, D. (2016) Superforecasting: The art and science of prediction. Random House Business.

The Japanese Respiratory Society. (2009). Aspiration pneumonia. *Respirology, 14* (Suppl. 2), 59–64. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1400-1843.2009.1578.x

Thiyagalingam, S., Kulinski, A. E., Thorsteinsdottir, B., Shindelar, K. L., & Takahashi, P. Y. (2021). Dysphagia in Older Adults. Mayo Clinic Proceedings, 96(2), 488–497. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mayocp.2020.08.001

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. (2022, March 24). Diagnosis. National Heart Lung and Blood Institute. Retrieved November 24, 2022, from https://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/health/pneumonia/diagnosis#:~:text=A%20chest%20Xray%20looks,oxygen%20is%20in%20your%20blood.





Verdonschot, R. J., Baijens, L. W., Serroyen, J. L., Leue, C., & Kremer, B. (2013). Symptoms of anxiety and depression assessed with the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale in patients with oropharyngeal dysphagia. Journal of Psychosomatic Research, 75(5), 451–455. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychores.2013.08.021

Vilardell, N., Rofes, L., Arreola, V., Speyer, R., & Clavé, P. (2015). A Comparative Study Between Modified Starch and Xanthan Gum Thickeners in Post-Stroke Oropharyngeal Dysphagia. Dysphagia, 31(2), 169–179. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00455-015-9672-8

West, J. (2015). West's respiratory physiology: The essentials (10th ed.). LWW.

Yoneyama, T., Yoshida, M., Ohrui, T., Mukaiyama, H., Okamoto, H., Hoshiba, K., Ihara, S., Yanagisawa, S., Ariumi, S., Morita, T., Mizuno, Y., Ohsawa, T., Akagawa, Y., Hashimoto, K., Sasaki, H., & Of The Oral Care Working Group, M. (2002). Oral care reduces pneumonia in older patients in nursing homes. Journal of the American Geriatrics *Society, 50*(3), 430–433. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1532-5415.2002.50106.x

Zhang, P.-ping, Yuan, Y., Lu, D.-zhi, Li, T.-ting, Zhang, H., Wang, H.-ying, & Wang, X.-wen. (2022). Diagnostic accuracy of the eating assessment tool-10 (EAT-10) in screening dysphagia: A systematic review and meta analysis. Dysphagia. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00455-022-10486-6



